Main Document Page 1 of 3 1 Andrew E. Smyth (SBN 60030) Stephen S. Smyth (SBN 248433) 2 William J. Smyth (SBN 265374) FILED & ENTERED SW SMYTH LLP 3 4929 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 690 SEP 28 2016 Los Angeles, California 90010 4 Telephone: (323) 933-8401 5 Facsimile: (323) 933-6089 **CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT** Central District of California BY bakchell DEPUTY CLERK office@smythlo.com 6 7 Attorneys for Debtor(s) Wayne L. Borders CHANGES MADE BY COURT 8 **NOT FOR PUBLICATION** 9 10 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 11 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 LOS ANGELES DIVISION 13 14 In re: CHAPTER 7 BK. No.: 2:16-bk-21495-RK 15 WAYNE L. BORDERS, 16 ORDER GRANTING DEBTOR WAYNE Debtor(s). L. BORDERS'S APPLICATION FOR 17 **ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE:** 18 CONTEMPT AGAINST-WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., FIDELITY NATIONAL 19 TITLE COMPANY, MORTGAGE LENDER SERVICERS, INC., AND THE 20 **UNITED STATES SECRETARY OF** 21 **HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT** 22 The Honorable Robert Kwan Judge: 23 24 /// 25 26 27 28

Case 2:16-bk-21495-RK Doc 16 Filed 09/28/16 Entered 09/28/16 10:24:36 Desc

Doc 16 Filed 09/28/16 Entered 09/28/16 10:24:36 Case 2:16-bk-21495-RK Main Document Page 2 of 3

1 On September 14, 2016, Debtor Wayne L. Borders (the "Debtor") filed an Application 2 3 4 5 6 (the "Application") as docket entry 12. 7 8 shown, 9 10 11 12 13 no later than November 23, 2016. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

for an Order to Show Cause As to Why Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo"), Fidelity National Title Company ("Fidelity"), Mortgage Lender Services, Inc., and the United States Secretary of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") Should Not Be Held in Contempt for Violation of the Automatic Stay and Order Them to Pay Compensatory and Punitive Damages The Court having read the Application and supporting evidence and upon good cause

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo"), Fidelity National Title Company ("Fidelity"), Mortgage Lender Services, Inc., and the United States Secretary of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") are is hereby Ordered to file a written explanation, if any, was to why they it should not be held in civil contempt for their its knowing violation of the automatic stay. Said written explanation shall be filed and served

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Debtor serve respondent Mortgage Lender Services, Inc., with copies of this order and the Application no later than October 7, 2016.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo"), Fidelity National Title Company ("Fidelity"), Mortgage Lender Services, Inc., and the United States Secretary of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") appear on November 30, 2016 at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom 1675 of the above entitled Court located at 255 E. Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012 and to show cause why they it should not be held in civil contempt.

25

26

27

28

Case 2:16-bk-21495-RK Doc 16 Filed 09/28/16 Entered 09/28/16 10:24:36 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 3

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo"), Fidelity National Title Company ("Fidelity"), Mortgage Lender Services, Inc., and the United States Secretary of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") show cause at the above time and place as to why compensatory attorney fees and costs in the amount of \$1,165.10 should not be imposed against them it because of their its willful breach of the automatic stay.

The court declines to order the other parties named as respondents to show cause because the evidence in support of the Application is insufficient to establish a prima facie case that such parties knew about the bankruptcy case and the automatic stay to have acted in violation of stay and to be held in civil contempt, and thus, does not establish good cause to issue the order to show cause as to them. Specifically, William Smith's declaration offered in support of the Application that respondents "knew" of the stay is based on his conversation with a representative of respondent Mortgage Lender Services, Inc., and no one else, and thus, it appears that the Debtor's request for ordering the other respondents to show cause is based on the unsupported factual assumption that she notified the other respondents, which assumption at this point of time is mere conjecture.

IT IS SO ORDERED

###

23 |

ate: September 28, 2016

Robert Kwan

United States Bankruptcy Judge

elle